

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	EV DIG DIED			
	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,121	07/30/2003	Robert A. Grigsby JR.	81488	6720
7590 11/04/2004			EXAMINER	
Legal Department Huntsman LLC		*	COONEY, JOHN M	
P.O. Box 15730			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Austin, TX 78	3/61		1711	
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/04/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	John m Cooney			
Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become	ireply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 September 2004.			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Q <i>uayl</i> e, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-20 is/are withd				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume		119(a)-(d) or (f).		
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 				
Certified copies of the priority docume Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	rits nave been received in A	pplication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International Bure	ionly documents have been	received in this National Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received		
	or and doranica copies flot			
		•		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)		
2) I I Notice of Draffonorses/s Detail Day 1		s)/Mail Date		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5)			
 2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/03 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0703</u>. 	8) 5) Notice of In 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I. (claims 1-14) in the reply filed on 9-23-04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that a basis for restriction is not evident. This is not found persuasive because examiner maintains that the restriction is proper in accordance with the requirements set forth in the MPEP, and an undue burden is maintained to be evident.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

This application contains claims 15-20 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 0904. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claims 15-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 09-23-04.

Notes

It is noted that the instant application is substantially identical to application 09/371,691 which was abandoned on 12-13-01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Art Unit: 1711

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicants have specified that component a) is a glycol. By definition, a glycol is dihydric; however, it is unclear from the specification if the recited formula of the glycol is required to have any hydroxyl groups.

Furthermore, the species ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, ethylene glycol monomethyl ether, and triethylene glycol do not satisfy the requirements of the instant glycol formula.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

First, it is unclear if the formula of component (a) is required to recite a true glycol.

Art Unit: 1711

Second, the Markush groups defining the R groups in component (a) of claim 1, the amino compound of claim 8, and the alkyl groups of claim 13 are improper because when materials recited in a claim are so related as to constitute a proper Markush group, they may be recited in the conventional manner (selected from the group "consisting of" A,B, and C) or alternatively (selected from A, B, or C). See M.P.E.P. 706.03(y).

Third, within claim 7, the species ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, ethylene glycol monomethyl ether, and triethylene glycol fail to satisfy the requirements of the formula for component (a). Therefore, these species fail to further limit the claim.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "said acidic organic species" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Within claim 14, "said acidic organic species" lacks antecedent basis from claim

1. Furthermore, claim 1 recites "formic acid". Therefore, claim 14 fails to further limit claim 1.

Art Unit: 1711

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It can not be determined whether the "amino compound" of claim 10 is referring to the amino compound of component (b) of claim 1 or the amino compound of claim 9 used to make the Mannich condensate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Skowronski et al.(5,563,180).

Skowronski et al. disclose catalyst compositions which comprise an alkali metal or alkaline earth metal carboxylate, such as formats, and an amino compound, such as a tertiary amine, in a carrier, such as diethylene glycol (see the abstract and columns 3-5, as well as, the entire document). Furthermore, several of patentees' tertiary amines correspond to the Mannich condensates of applicants' claims. Claims 10 and 11 are not further limiting of the scope of the claims for art purposes.

Art Unit: 1711

Page 6

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Speranza et al. and McEntire et al. are cited for their disclosure of relevant materials in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN M. COONEY, JR.

SOUP 1700